Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,411	KUZNETSOVA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>SARAH PIHONAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>MARK SWANSON</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2-4,6-18,22-24,26,28		
Prior art documents discussed:  All		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/S. P./		
Examiner, Art Unit 1627	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicants' attorney, Mark Swanson, was contacted regarding the allowability of claims 2-4, 6-16, 22-24, 26, and 28, as amended in the response filed on 7/19/2010. Applicants requested that withdrawn claims 17-18 be rejoined, as they have been amended to be commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims. Claims 17-18 have been rejoined; claims 2-4, 6-18, 22-24, 26, and 28 are allowed.